This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- BLURRY OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLATED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY DARK BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOS
- UNDECIPHERABLE GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 36,417 F 04/07/95 SCHWARTZ 02/418, 286 FYAMINER 34M1/0615 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MILA SHVARTSMAN PO BOX 225 OUTREMONT BUE 3407 CANADA H2V 4M8 DATE MAILED: 06/15/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on______ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ month(s), 30 days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned, 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
 Notice of Art Cited by Applicant PTO-1449. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. PCclaims /-22 are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims have been cancelled. 3. Ctaims 4. Claims 5. Claims 6. In Claims 1-22 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with Informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ______. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8
 are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______, has been __approved; __disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received D not been received Deen filed in parent application, serial no. ___ _____; filed on ___ 13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 08/418,286

Art Unit: 3407

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) The species as illustrated in Figure 1
- B) The species as illustrated in Figure 2
- C) The species as illustrated in Figure 8
- D) The species as illustrated in Figure 12
- E) The species as illustrated in Figure 20
- F) The species as illustrated in Figure 21
- G) The species as illustrated in Figure 24
- H) The non-illustrated species in claim 14
- I) The non-illustrated species in claim 15

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 7-8, 11 and 18-21 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or

Serial Number: 08/418,286

Art Unit: 3407

identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603 (FAX (703) 305-3463/3464).

C.A.

C.A.

June 13, 1995

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 347